



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,796	08/30/2001	Kulvir Singh Bhogal	AUS920010512US1	9733	
35525	7590 11/13/2003		EXAMINER		
DUKE W. Y			GAUTHIER,	GERALD	
CARSTENS, Y	YEE & CAHOON, L.L.P.				
P.O. BOX 802	334		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75380	•	2645		
			DATE MAILED: 11/12/2002	$\mathcal{D}$	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/942,796	BHOGAL ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_			
	Gerald Gauthier	2645				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	5			
THE REPLY FILED 03 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to n places the application	a n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See R 1.136(a) and the appropria unt of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	e MPEP ate extension ate extension ce action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) They raise new issues that would require further	·	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c). they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simpli	fying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	· · ·					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		•				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT pl	ace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were ne	:wly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-27</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by the	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	···············				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant on Page 9, 3rd paragraph stated that Irribaren fails to teach a uniform storage format and the uniform storage format is different from the data format.

The Examiner respecfully disagrees.

Irribaren (column 6, lines 32-48) stated that the facsimile (inherently in fax format of the fax machine) is transmitted by a caller and sored in a mailbox in digital format (speech file) This is clearly that the system converts the received fax message into speech file (see column 6 lines 32-48.

FAN TSANG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTUR 2800